

Set	Items	Description
S1	1049154	SURVEY? OR VOTING OR QUESTIONAIR? OR POLL OR POLLS OR POLL- ING OR MULTIPLE()CHOICE? OR (FILL(2N)BLANK?) (2N) (TEST OR TESTS OR EXAMINATION?)
S2	10184	S1(3N) (ONLINE OR ELECTRONIC OR DIGITAL OR WEBBASE? OR WEB - OR WWW OR INTERNET OR WEBPAGE? OR HOMEPAGE? OR WEB OR VIRTUA- L)
S3	7392427	SUBJECT? OR CATEGOR? OR INDEX? OR KEYWORD? OR KEY()WORD? OR TYPE OR KIND OR CLASSIFICATION? OR CLASS OR CLASSES
S4	1110958	REUSE? OR RECYCLE? OR RETRIEV? OR FETCH? OR MATCH? OR LOCA- TE OR LOCATING
S5	566336	MODULAR? OR GENERIC? OR MODULE?
S6	9180232	CREAT? OR RECREAT? OR MODIF? OR CHANG? OR ALTER? OR REVIS? OR EDIT?
S7	7	S2 (10N) S3 (10N) (S4 OR S5)
S8	13	S2 (10N) S3 (10N) S6
S9	241	S2 AND S3 AND (S4 OR S5)
S10	10549	S6(3N)S1
S11	200	S2 AND S10
S12	38	S11 AND (S3 OR S4 OR S5)
S13	56	S7 OR S8 OR S12
S14	50	RD (unique items)
S15	36	S14 NOT PY>2001
S16	36	S15 NOT PD=20011107:20040501
File	8: Ei	Compendex(R) 1970-2004/Apr W3 (c) 2004 Elsevier Eng. Info. Inc.
File	35:	Dissertation Abs Online 1861-2004/Apr (c) 2004 ProQuest Info&Learning
File	202:	Info. Sci. & Tech. Abs. 1966-2004/Feb 27 (c) 2004 EBSCO Publishing
File	65:	Inside Conferences 1993-2004/Apr W4 (c) 2004 BLDSC all rts. reserv.
File	2:	INSPEC 1969-2004/Apr W4 (c) 2004 Institution of Electrical Engineers
File	94:	JICST-EPlus 1985-2004/Apr W2 (c) 2004 Japan Science and Tech Corp(JST)
File	111:	TGG Natl. Newspaper Index(SM) 1979-2004/May 03 (c) 2004 The Gale Group
File	233:	Internet & Personal Comp. Abs. 1981-2003/Sep (c) 2003 EBSCO Pub.
File	6:	NTIS 1964-2004/May W1 (c) 2004 NTIS, Intl Cpyrght All Rights Res
File	144:	Pascal 1973-2004/Apr W4 (c) 2004 INIST/CNRS
File	434:	SciSearch(R) Cited Ref Sci 1974-1989/Dec (c) 1998 Inst for Sci Info
File	34:	SciSearch(R) Cited Ref Sci 1990-2004/Apr W4 (c) 2004 Inst for Sci Info
File	99:	Wilson Appl. Sci & Tech Abs 1983-2004/Mar (c) 2004 The HW Wilson Co.

16/5/4 (Item 4 from e: 8)  
DIALOG(R) File 8: Ei Compendex(R)  
(c) 2004 Elsevier Eng. Info. Inc. All rts. reserv.

04583773 E.I. No: EIP96123477794

**Title: Modern language association: electronic and paper surveys of computer-based tool use**

Author: Shaw, Debora; Davis, Charles H.

Corporate Source: Indiana Univ, Bloomington, IN, USA

Source: Journal of the American Society for Information Science v 47 n 12  
Dec 1996. p 932-940

Publication Year: 1996

CODEN: AISJB6 ISSN: 0002-8231

Language: English

Document Type: JA; (Journal Article) Treatment: A; (Applications); G;  
(General Review)

Journal Announcement: 9702W3

**Abstract:** Members of the Modern Language Association of America (MLA) were surveyed about their use of computer-based tools. A questionnaire was sent to 1,000 randomly-selected members in the U.S., with 500 sent via paper mail and 500 through electronic mail. Word processing, electronic mail, online catalogs, and the MLA International Bibliography were used heavily. Responses by the two subgroups differed significantly in several respects. Electronic full texts received substantially less use by both groups, especially those responding to the print **survey**. Major **changes** in research habits included greater reliance on word processing and more work outside of libraries. Problems reported focused on access to computer-based resources, learning to use them, the need for instruction, and inconsistent interfaces. Finally, evidence strongly suggests that reliance solely on **electronic surveys** may produce misleading results. (Author abstract) Refs.

**Descriptors:** Information technology; Computer applications; Database systems; Electronic mail; Data processing; Computer aided instruction; Interfaces (computer); Surveys; Information **retrieval** systems

**Identifiers:** Modern language association; Computer based tool use; Online catalogs

**Classification Codes:**

723.5 (Computer Applications); 723.3 (Database Systems); 723.2 (Data Processing); 722.2 (Computer Peripheral Equipment); 903.3 (Information Retrieval & Use)

723 (Computer Software); 722 (Computer Hardware); 903 (Information Science)

72 (COMPUTERS & DATA PROCESSING); 90 (GENERAL ENGINEERING)

01829750 ORDER NO: AADAA-I3010662

**Development of a model using the World Wide Web as a collaborative project management tool to transition courses from a traditional environment to a Web-enabled environment**

Author: DePeiza, Norline J.

Degree: Ph.D.

Year: 2001

Corporate Source/Institution: Walden University (0543)

Adviser: Gwen Hillesheim

Source: VOLUME 62/04-A OF DISSERTATION ABSTRACTS INTERNATIONAL.

PAGE 1312. 178 PAGES

Descriptors: EDUCATION, CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION ; EDUCATION,  
TECHNOLOGY ; INFORMATION SCIENCE

Descriptor Codes: 0727; 0710; 0723

ISBN: 0-493-20473-3

This study examined the development of a Collaboration Project Management Model (CPM2) for use in transitioning Defense Acquisition University (DAU) courses from face-to-face classroom delivery to technology-enabled delivery. The model's goal was to reduce design, development, and delivery time, more efficiently use resources, and increase collaboration among DAU faculty, **subject** matter experts, and instructional designers. The researcher used action research and relied primarily on an embedded single case study method for developing the CPM2. A variety of data collection and interpretation methods, including model **creating**, content analysis, **survey** research, and automated data gathering, was used. The two courses using the CPM2 currently taught at the DAU were the ACQ 101, Basic Fundamentals of Systems Acquisition Management, and IRM 101, Basic Systems Information Technology.

Additionally, CPM2 included an assessment of the skills of team members. The researcher developed and piloted the DAU Faculty Professional Development **Survey** in a **Web**-enabled environment using "Report Card," an evaluation tool developed for the DAU to administer, collect data from, and perform analysis of surveys distributed to course graduates, postgraduates, and their supervisors. Participants were able to immediately review aggregate results of responses to the survey via the WWW.

The most important results for the five phases of the study occurred in phases three and four, during which course content was developed, feedback was solicited, and the courses were programmed, tested, and validated. During these phases the ACQ 101 team became reluctant to use the online feedback tool provided, preferring face-to-face meetings. Using rapid prototyping and instructional design, the interface design and some functions of the course were revised according to team and reviewer comments. Costs for ACQ 101 and IRM 101 course conversion were \$535,000 and \$169,500, respectively, as discussed in phase five.

The study found that more training in the use of WWW technology and online collaboration was necessary and that strong resistance to change (less from project managers accustomed to technology than from others who were not) hampered their implementation. Finally, however, the study showed that with strong leadership, support, and patience, the CPM2 model is a viable one for course presentation.

16/5/27 (Item 9 from file: 2)  
DIALOG(R) File 2:INSPEC  
(c) 2004 Institution of Electrical Engineers. All rts. reserv.

4789404 INSPEC Abstract Number: C9411-7100-070

**Title: Groupware-one experience**

Author(s): Dallavalle, T.; Esposito, A.; Lang, S.

Author Affiliation: Bellcore, Red Bank, NJ, USA

p.470-7

Editor(s): Coleman, D.D.

Publisher: Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, San Mateo, CA, USA

Publication Date: 1992 Country of Publication: USA xv+543 pp.

Conference Title: Proceedings of Groupware '92

Conference Date: 2-5 Aug. 1992 Conference Location: San Jose, CA, USA

Language: English Document Type: Conference Paper (PA)

Treatment: Applications (A); Practical (P)

Abstract: The author provides a definition of groupware and the discusses its implementation at Bellcore. Within Bellcore the term Teamware has evolved as a descriptor for the implementation of groupware. During the mid to late 1980s, Bellcore installed thousands of personal computers some of which were connected to local area networks. He considers how productivity improvements as a result of this embedded technology were primarily related to individual gains rather than the synergistic increases which derive from collaborative efforts. By introducing groupware in Bellcore they anticipated that teams through the use of this technology would reach agreement more quickly on sensitive issues and be more productive than those teams that do not use groupware tools. The teams use electronic groupware tools which will jump-start team activities such as: **electronic brainstorming**, **consensus testing**, **voting**, **idea categorization**, **evaluation of alternatives**, and team document preparation. (0 Refs)

Subfile: C

Descriptors: groupware; human factors; local area networks; office automation

Identifiers: groupware; Bellcore; Teamware; personal computers; local area networks; embedded technology; productivity improvements; collaborative efforts; groupware tools; electronic groupware tools; electronic brainstorming; consensus testing; voting; idea categorization; team document preparation

Class Codes: C7100 (Business and administration); C6180 (User interfaces); C6150N (Distributed systems); C5620L (Local area networks)

16/5/31 (Item 3 from File: 233)  
DIALOG(R)File 233:Internet & Personal Comp. Abs.  
(c) 2003 EBSCO Pub. All rts. reserv.

00560432 00PI02-049

**Zoomerang**

Canter, Sheryl

PC Magazine , February 8, 2000 , v19 n3 p176-178, 2 Page(s)

ISSN: 0888-8507

Company Name: MarketTools

URL: <http://www.zoomerang.com>

Product Name: Zoomerang

Languages: English

Document Type: Software Review

Grade (of Product Reviewed): D

Geographic Location: United States

Presents an unfavorable review of Zoomerang (free), a **Web survey** solution from MarketTools Inc. of Sausalito, CA (877, 415). Explains this is a free online service for **creating** and managing **surveys**. Notes this is a basic service, and a fee-based premium service will be available at a later date. Notes this is the only solution tested that is entirely Web-based. Calls it easy to use, but limited. Notes the numerous pre-built surveys in the **categories** of business, community, personal, and education. Complains there is no way to keep someone from responding more than once, there is no way to validate data or require certain questions be answered, and no way to apply branching logic. Also criticizes the lack of data analysis, and an inability to export data into another package for analysis. Concludes this is an easy to use solution, but ``more like a toy than a serious survey tool.'' Includes one screen display. (kgh)

Descriptors: **Survey** ; Data Analysis; **Web** Tools; Online Services

Identifiers: Zoomerang; MarketTools

16/5/32 (Item 4 from file: 233)  
DIALOG(R)File 233:Internet & Personal Comp. Abs.  
(c) 2003 EBSCO Pub. All rts. reserv.

00560429 00PI02-046

**Survey Select 2.1**

Canter, Sheryl

PC Magazine , February 8, 2000 , v19 n3 p173-174, 2 Page(s)

ISSN: 0888-8507

Company Name: Saja Software

URL: <http://www.surveymselect.com>

Product Name: Survey Select 2.1

Languages: English

Document Type: Software Review

Grade (of Product Reviewed): C

Geographic Location: United States

Presents a mixed review of Survey Select 2.1 (\$1,090), a Web survey solution from Saja Software Inc. of Longmont, CO (800, 303). Says this solution was originally designed to **create** disk, e-mail, and paper **surveys** , and the **Web survey** option is an add-on. Features a library of over 800 questions in 30 **categories** , with a means for analyzing the answers in each topic separately. However, complains this is the most expensive of the survey products tested. Also warns of its nonstandard interface which makes it somewhat difficult to use. Criticizes the limited question types and the cumbersome analysis tools. Concludes this is an expensive and limited survey solution. Includes one screen display (kgh)

Descriptors: Survey; Data Analysis; Web Tools; Report Generator

Identifiers: Survey Select 2.1; Saja Software

16/5/33 (Item 5 from file: 233)  
DIALOG(R)File 233:Internet & Personal Comp. Abs.  
(c) 2003 EBSCO Pub. All rts. reserv.

00558825 00PI01-012

**EZSurvey gets a face-lift -- Raosoft's program to set up surveys on the Web or via e-mail has simplified its survey design interface**

Simon, Barry

PC Magazine , January 4, 2000 , v19 n1 p66, 1 Page(s)

ISSN: 0888-8507

Company Name: Raosoft

URL: <http://www.raosoft.com>

Product Name: EZSurvey 99 for the Internet

Languages: English

Document Type: Software Review

Grade (of Product Reviewed): B

Geographic Location: United States

Presents a favorable review of EZSurvey 99 for the **Internet** (\$399), a **survey - creation** solution from Raosoft Inc. of Seattle, WA (206). Requires Windows 95 or NT, with supported Web server and/or e-mail program. Includes support for ccMail, Eudora, Exchange Server, Outlook, and Lotus Notes. Adds it supports CGI, ISAPI, CGI-WIN, and PERL for saving replies. Explains this version **changes** the **survey - creation** interface to an outline-based format. Says it is easy to **reuse** questions, and supplies users with stock questions that can be added into surveys. Notes the survey results are stored in standard dBase (DBF) format. However, complains the statistical analysis tools are sparse, and more substantial analysis of the results require additional software. Concludes this is ``an invaluable tool'' for setting up **Web -based surveys** . Includes one screen display.  
(kgh)

Descriptors: **Survey ; Web Tools ; Information Retrieval ; Database ; Data Analysis**

Identifiers: EZSurvey 99 for the Internet; Raosoft

16/5/34 (Item 6 from file: 233)  
DIALOG(R)File 233:Internet & Personal Comp. Abs.  
(c) 2003 EBSCO Pub. All rts. reserv.

00484170 98MM01-007

**1998 buyers' guide -- Directory of respected publishers and producers of today's educational technologies, products and services**

Media & Methods , January 1, 1998 , v34 n3 p23-52, 20 Page(s)

ISSN: 0025-6897

Languages: English

Document Type: Buyer and Vendor Guide

Geographic Location: United States

Presents a guide to educational technologies, products and services. Lists providers in the following **categories** : cameras and supplies; carts and storage; **editing** equipment; **electronic** **polling** systems; **electronic** whiteboards; laminating equipment; presentation equipment and systems; A/V presentation supplies; books; databases; furniture; administrative software; authoring software; CD-ROM hardware; CD-ROM and software publishers and distributors; comprehensive courseware; computer hardware; computer security; electronic encyclopedias and reference; peripherals; laserdiscs; language labs; library automation; library security; media **retrieval** systems; library and media management supplies; scientific instruments; distance learning; Website development; Internet services; Internet filtering programs; networking services and equipment; video and film producers and distributors; and additional educational services. Includes a table of contents. (dpm)

Descriptors: Educational Computing; Hardware; Software; Courseware; Peripherals; Presentations; Library



16/5/35 (Item 7 from file: 233)  
DIALOG(R)File 233:Internet & Personal Comp. Abs.  
(c) 2003 EBSCO Pub. All rts. reserv.

00481258 97IW12-313

**Survey Select 2.0 conducts polls with little effort**

Heck, Mike

InfoWorld , December 22, 1997 , v19 n51/52 p52B, 1 Page(s)

ISSN: 0199-6649

Company Name: Saja Software

URL: <http://www.surveymselect.com>

Product Name: **Survey Select 2.0; Web /E-Mail Module 1.0**

Languages: English

Document Type: Software Review

Grade (of Product Reviewed): B

Hardware/Software Compatibility: IBM PC Compatible; Microsoft Windows  
95; Microsoft Windows NT; Microsoft Windows; Microsoft Exchange

Geographic Location: United States

Presents a favorable review of Survey Select 2.0 (\$595) and Web/E-Mail  
**Module 1.0** (\$245), an **electronic survey** software solution from Saja  
Software Inc. of Longmont, CO (800). Calls this a user-friendly product  
with a simple interface including an easy-to-follow sequence for **creating  
surveys** . Notes that it support most question types, and provides a  
simple path to survey distribution. Complains that survey administration  
includes some seemingly unnecessary steps. Also criticizes the Web/E-Mail  
Modu requirement of a Microsoft Exchange client. Concludes that, despite  
its limited client support and some minor glitches, this ``has a lot going  
for it.'' Rated four out of five. Includes one screen display and one  
product summary. (kgh)

Descriptors: **Survey ; Information Retrieval ; Electronic Mail;**

Web Tools; User Interface; Data Transmission

Identifiers: **Survey Select 2.0; Web /E-Mail Module 1.0; Saja  
Software**